



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,607	09/19/2003	Michael J. Chambers	M.CHAMBERS 2-1	6387
47396	7590	08/20/2009	EXAMINER	
HITT GAINES, PC LSI Corporation PO BOX 832570 RICHARDSON, TX 75083				WENDELL, ANDREW
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2618				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2009	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@hittgaines.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/665,607	CHAMBERS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ANDREW WENDELL	2618	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANDREW WENDELL. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steve Hanke. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Aarnio (US 2003/0087650).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the after final submitted on 8/4/2009. Discussed about applicant's invention and how it is different from Aarnio. We talked about possible language to clarify what types of documents (i.e. written or printed) that the mobile telephone is taking images of and overcome the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrew Wendell/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2618